

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,483	. 03/31/2004	Gerald L. Dybsetter	15436.366.1	7758	
22913 WORKMAN 1	7590 08/09/2007 NYDEGGER	,	EXAM	INER	
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			PATEL, NIMESH G		
	GATE TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE (CITY, UT 84111	•	2111		
	·				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

: 1			mn
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/814,483	DYBSETTER ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit .
		Nimesh G. Patel	2111
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Faii Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE (Lensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Poply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	ay 2007.	
, —	_	action is non-final.	
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	·
Disposi	tion of Claims		,
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	:
Applica	tion Papers		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objection drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 12, 13, 23-26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Creedon et al.(US 6,385,669).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Creedon discloses a system that includes a master component(Figure 1, 10) that is configured to communicate with one or more slave components(Figure 1, 11) over a clock wire(Figure 1, 12) and a data wire(Figure 1, 13), a method for the master component communicating over the data wire while enabling recovery of synchronization between the master component and the one or more slave components, the method comprising the following: an act of determining that an operation is to be performed on a slave component of the one or more slave components(Column 4, Lines 60-61); an act of monitoring the data wire of the two-wire interface upon determining that the operation is to be performed on the slave component; an act of detecting at least the predetermined number of consecutive bits of the same binary polarity have occurred on the data wire during the act of monitoring the data wire(Column 4, Lines 62-67); and an act of asserting a frame of a two-wire interface on the data wire in response to the act of detecting that the predetermined number of consecutive bits of the same polarity have occurred on the data wire(Figure 4; Column 5, Line 7).
- 4. Regarding claim 2, Creedon discloses a method, wherein the two-wire interface is a guaranteed header two-wire interface(Figure 4).

- 5. Regarding claim 3, Creedon discloses a method, wherein the two-wire interface is not a guaranteed header two-wire interface(Column 4, Line 67-Column 5, Line 6).
- 6. Regarding claim 4, Creedon discloses a method, wherein the act of detecting at least the predetermined number of consecutive bits comprises the following: an act of detecting at least the predetermined number of consecutive bits of a logical one(Column 4, Lines 62-67).
- 7. Regarding claim 5, Creedon discloses a method, wherein the data wire is pulled high when no components are asserting binary values on the data wire(Column 4, Lines 43-44).
- 8. Regarding claim 8, Creedon discloses a method, further comprising the following: an act of the master component asserting a clock signal on the clock wire during at least some of the act of monitoring the data wire(Column 4, Lines 62-67).
- 9. Regarding claim 9, Creedon discloses a method, further comprising the following: an act of the master component asserting a voltage level on the data wire during only a portion of the act of monitoring(Column 4, Lines 62-67).
- 10. Regarding claim 10, Creedon discloses a method, wherein the data wire is pulled high when no components are asserting binary values on the data wire(Column 4, Lines 43-44).
- 11. Regarding claim 12, Creedon discloses a method, further comprising the following: an act of the master component refraining from asserting a voltage level on the data wire during the act of monitoring(Column 4, Lines 62-67).
- 12. Regarding claim 13, Creedon discloses a method, wherein the data wire is pulled high when no components are asserting binary values on the data wire(Column 4, Lines 62-67).

- master component(Figure 1, 10); a slave component(Figure 1, 11); a clock wire(Figure 1, 14) interconnected between the master component and the slave component; a data wire(Figure 1, 13) interconnected between the master component and the slave component; a data wire(Figure 1, 13) interconnected between the master component and the slave component, wherein the master component is configured to perform the following: an act of determining that an operation is to be performed on the slave component(see Column 4, Lines 60-61); an act of monitoring the data wire of the two-wire interface upon determining that the operation is to be performed on the slave component; an act of detecting at least the predetermined number of consecutive bits of the same binary polarity have occurred on the data wire during the act of monitoring the data wire(Column 4, Lines 62-67); and an act of asserting a frame of a two-wire interface on the data wire in response to the act of detecting that the predetermined number of consecutive bits of the same polarity have occurred on the data wire(Figure 4; Column 5, Line 7).
- 14. Regarding claim 24, Creedon discloses a system, wherein the two-wire interface is a guaranteed header two-wire interface(Figure 4).
- 15. Regarding claim 25, Creedon discloses a system, wherein the two-wire interface is not a guaranteed header two-wire interface(Column 4, Line 67-Column 5, Line 6).
- 16. Regarding claim 26, Creedon discloses a system, wherein the data wire is pulled high when no components are asserting binary values on the data wire(Column 4, Lines 43-44).
- 17. Regarding claim 28, Creedon discloses a master component that is configured to do the following when coupled to a slave component via a clock wire and a data wire: an act of determining that an operation is to be performed on the slave component; an act of monitoring the data wire of the two-wire interface upon determining that the operation

is to be performed on the slave component(Column 4, Lines 60-61); an act of detecting at least the predetermined number of consecutive bits of the same binary polarity have occurred on the data wire during the act of monitoring the data wire(Column 4, Lines 62-67); and an act of asserting a frame of a two-wire interface on the data wire in response to the act of detecting that the predetermined number of consecutive bits of the same polarity have occurred on the data wire(Figure 4; Column 5, Line 7).

- 18. Regarding claim 29, Creedon discloses a master component; wherein the two-wire interface is a guaranteed header two-wire interface(Figure 4).
- 19. Regarding claim 30, Creedon discloses a master component, wherein the two-wire interface is not a guaranteed header two-wire interface(Column 4, Line 67-Column 5, Line 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. Claims 6, 7, 11, 14-22 and 31-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Creedon, in view of what is well known in the art.
- Regarding claims 6 and 27, Creedon does not specifically disclose a system and method, wherein an act of detecting at least the predetermined number of consecutive bits of a logical zero. However, official notice is being taken that pull-down resistors are well known in the art and easily replace pull up resistors when a default zero logic is desired instead of logic one(see Whitney et al.(US2003/0025587)(Paragraph 69)). It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the pull-up resistor with a pull-down resistor so the master can detect logical zeros as the preamble.

- 23. Regarding claim 7, a pull down resistor, as explained above, would pull the data wire low if no components are asserting binary values(see Whitney et al.(US2003/0025587)(Paragraph 69)).
- Regarding claims 11 and 14, Creedon does not specifically disclose a method, wherein the data wire is pulled low when no components are asserting binary values on the data wire. However, official notice is being taken that pull-down resistors are well known in the art and easily replace pull up resistors when a default zero logic is desired instead of logic one(see Whitney et al.(US2003/0025587)(Paragraph 69)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the pull-up resistor with a pull-down resistor so that the data wire is pulled low when no components are asserting binary values on the data wire.
- 25. Regarding claims 15-18 Creedon discloses an MDIO interface but does not specifically disclose a method, wherein, an act of determining that a read or write operation is to be performed with an extended or shorter address as compared to other frames communicated over the data wire. However, official notice is being taken components having different size addresses in the MDIO interface is well known in the art(see IEEE 802.3 standard, Section 45.1 Overview). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine a read or write operation is to be performed with an extended or shorter address as compared to other frames since this give the ability to access more device register while retaining logical compatibility with the MDIO interface defined in Clause 22 of the IEEE 802.3 standard.
- 26. Regarding claims 19 and 20, Creedon does not specifically disclose a method, wherein an act of determining that a read or write operation is to be performed with

cyclic redundancy checking over the data wire. However, official notice is being taken CRC checking is well known in the art(see CRC definition submitted with this office action). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use CRC checking to ensure there are no errors during transmission.

- 27. Regarding claims 21 and 22, Creedon does not specifically disclose a method, wherein an act of determining that a read or write operation is to be performed with acknowledgements over the data wire. However, official notice is being taken acknowledgements are well known in the art(see ACK definition submitted with this office action). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use acknowledgements since this would ensure the master and slave receiving data properly.
- 28. Regarding claims 31-39, Creedon does not specifically disclose a master component, wherein the master component is implemented in a laser transmitter/receiver and the various types of laser transmitter/receivers. However, official notice is being taken, that it is well known in the art to use various types of laser transmitter/receivers(see Nelson et al.(US2005/0111845)(Paragraph 78). It would have been obvious to use any types of laser transmitter/receivers to increase compatibility and realize various data rates applicable to each specific situation or environment.

Response to Arguments

- 29. Applicant's arguments filed May 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 30. Applicant argues that the section pointed by examiner in the previous action is not clear how the section meets the claim language. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The section relied upon by the Examiner states "the frame format begins with a

Application/Control Number: 10/814,483

Page 8

Art Unit: 2111

preamble, which in the particular example is a sequence of (thirty-two) consecutive logic 1 bits". Also, the sentence prior to this statement states "when the state machine requires to perform either a write operation on the respective register in the device" (see Column 4, Lines 60-67). This reads on the step of the master (Figure 1, 10) performs "the act of determining that an operation is to be performed on a slave component (Figure 2, 11) of the one or more slave components." Further, the master is able to read the data wire at any time and therefore reads on the "act of monitoring and detecting at least the predetermined sequence of bits." And finally, Creedon states ""the next portion of the frame format is a start of frame ST" (Column 5, Line 7), which reads on the "act of asserting a frame of a two-wire interface on the data wire.

- 31. In response to applicant's request for evidence to support the official notice statements taken in the previous office action, Examiner supplies:
- Whitney et al. (US2003/0025587) teaches pull-up resistors and pull-down resistors are well-known and that they function the same, that is to create a default value, where one pulls it high and one pulls it low(Paragraph 69).
- IEEE Std 802.3 teaches extended or shorter address as compared to other frames(see Section 45.1 Overview).
- Nelson et al.(US2005/0111845) teaches various types of laser transmitters/receivers(Paragraph 78).
 - Computer definitions for ACK and CRC.

Conclusion

32. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nimesh G. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-3640. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinehart H. Mark can be reached on 571-272-3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nimesh G Patel Examiner

Art Unit 2111

NP

i

Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100